

1 RUSSELL M. MCGLOTHLIN (State Bar No. 208826)
2 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
3 1020 State Street
4 Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2711
5 Telephone: 805.963.7000
6 Facsimile: 805.965.4333
7 RMcGlothlin@bhfs.com

EXEMPT FROM FEES

ELECTRONICALLY FILED BY
Superior Court of California,
County of Monterey
On 3/16/2018 12:20 PM
By: Janet Nicholson, Deputy

Attorneys for Seaside Groundwater Basin Watermaster

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY

10 CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 CITY OF SEASIDE, et al.,

14 Defendants.

Case No. M66343

Assigned for All Purposes to the
Honorable Leslie C. Nichols

**SEASIDE GROUNDWATER BASIN
WATERMASTER'S 2018 CASE
MANAGEMENT STATEMENT**

16 MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER
17 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,

18 Intervenor.

Action Filed: August 14, 2003
Trial Date: December 13, 2005

Post-Judgment Case Management Conference:
March 23, 2018

21 MONTEREY COUNTY WATER
22 RESOURCES AGENCY,

23 Intervenor.

24 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS.
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BASIN CONDITIONS AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT CONCERNS	1
A. 2017 Extraction Quantities.....	1
B. Northern Coastal Subarea Groundwater Levels and Seawater Intrusion Analysis Results	2
C. Laguna Seca Subarea Groundwater Levels and Coordination with the Monterey Subbasin GSA	3
D. Intended Cal Am Replenishment of the Basin Once Future Replacement Water Supplies are Available.....	5
III. STATUS OF THE MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER SUPPLY PROJECT	6
A. The MPWSP	7
B. PWM and ASR.....	8
C. MCWD Water Sale Proposal	8
IV. WATERMASTER ACTIVITIES AND POTENTIAL MATTERS FOR FUTURE COURT CONSIDERATION.....	8
A. 2018 Watermaster Activities.....	8
B. Potential Motion for Relief from Final Triennial Ramp-Down in 2021	9
C. Request to Change Due Date for Annual Reports and Consolidate the Annual Status Conference Statement with the Annual Report	9

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 A post-judgment case management conference is scheduled in this action for March 23,
3 2018 before the Honorable Leslie C. Nichols. For convenience and reference, the 2016 and 2017
4 case management statements are attached to this 2018 case management statement. The 2016
5 statement includes substantial background regarding management of the Seaside Groundwater
6 Basin (“Seaside Basin” or “Basin”), and contemporaneous matters that may affect the Basin. The
7 2017 statement also includes substantial background as well as a glossary of terms, a description
8 of essential agencies, and an overview of Watermaster’s structure in response to the Court’s prior
9 request.

10 This case management statement provides a summary of (i) current Basin conditions and
11 issues that Watermaster will continue to monitor and address over time, (ii) the status of long-
12 term regional water supply planning and the central role that the Basin will play with respect to
13 those long-term water supplies, and (iii) matters that may come before for the Court for
14 consideration this year.

15 **II. BASIN CONDITIONS AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT CONCERNS**

16 As discussed in the Annual Reports and prior status reports, the principal groundwater
17 management concerns within the Basin arise from the overdrafting of the Basin leading to the
18 Decision. Overdraft has resulted in depressed groundwater levels within the Northern Coastal
19 Subarea and the Laguna Seca Subarea. Within the Northern Coastal Subarea, the primary concern
20 pertains to potential seawater intrusion into the Basin. In the Laguna Seca Subarea, the primary
21 concern pertains to long-term overpumping in and adjacent to the subarea, ultimately resulting in
22 the water table falling such that wells are unusable at present depths. The following information is
23 pertinent to these overdraft concerns.

24 **A. 2017 Extraction Quantities**

25 The total amount of water pumped from the Basin in WY 2017 was 3,049 acre-feet. After
26 performing the first three ramp-downs in production required by the Adjudication Decision, the
27 allowable pumping quantity was 3,920 acre-feet. Thus, in WY 2017 production from the Basin

1 was below the allowable production level and 49 acre-feet above the natural safe yield for the
2 Basin.

3 **B. Northern Coastal Subarea Groundwater Levels and Seawater Intrusion**
4 **Analysis Results**

5 Currently, there is no indication of seawater intrusion in the Northern Coastal Subarea.
6 Each year the Watermaster has its consultant, HydroMetrics, prepare a Seawater Intrusion
7 Analysis Report (SIAR) to determine whether or not there are any indications that seawater
8 intrusion is either occurring or is imminent. Previous SIARs have stated that depressed
9 groundwater levels, continued pumping in excess of recharge and fresh water inflows, and
10 ongoing seawater intrusion in the nearby Salinas Valley, all suggest that seawater intrusion could
11 occur in the Seaside Groundwater Basin. As discussed in previous reports, in 2016 for the first
12 time there was conflicting data from two of the Watermaster's Sentinel Wells. Some of the data
13 were suggestive of the possible initial onset of seawater intrusion, while other data indicated
14 seawater intrusion was not occurring. Verification water quality resampling was undertaken at
15 Sentinel Well SBWM-2, Sentinel Well SBWM-4, and the Ord Terrace Shallow Monitoring Well.
16 The analysis of this data by HydroMetrics concluded that none of the samples indicated incipient
17 seawater intrusion and that initial results of concern were related to sampling technique.

18 To further examine the water quality in these wells, in late-2017 another of the
19 Watermaster's hydrogeologic consultants, Martin Feeney, performed fluid resistivity logging in
20 the Sentinel Wells. None of the data obtained from this logging indicated that seawater intrusion
21 was occurring. Although this logging indicated that samples historically collected from within
22 the well casing were representative of the water quality within the casing, they were not
23 representative of the groundwater from the aquifer surrounding the wells. The groundwater
24 quality data collected from within the Sentinel Wells was therefore not considered representative
25 of the aquifer and should not be used in seawater intrusion analysis. Subsequent to review of
26 sampling technique, the 2017 SIAR recommended that water quality sampling in those wells be
27

1 discontinued, and that they be used only for induction logging,¹ as was the original intent when
2 those wells were constructed.

3 The Watermaster continues to analyze the data that is being gathered at each monitoring
4 site as part of its overall Basin management program.

5 **C. Laguna Seca Subarea Groundwater Levels and Coordination with the**
6 **Monterey Subbasin GSA**

7 Due to its far distance from the coast, seawater intrusion is not an issue of concern in the
8 Laguna Seca subarea, but persistent, though gradual, declines in water levels within the Laguna
9 Seca subarea remain a concern. The rate of decline in groundwater levels in the western portion
10 of this subarea is between one and two feet per year. However, data from recent years indicates
11 that the water levels have started to stabilize.

12 Groundwater levels in the eastern Laguna Seca subarea, however, have historically been
13 declining at rates of 0.6 feet per year in the shallow aquifers, and between two and three feet per
14 year in the deep aquifers. These declines have occurred since 2001 despite the triennial reductions
15 in allowable pumping imposed by the decision in this case. As previously reported, the cause of
16 this decline is due in part to the pumping of water within the Laguna Seca subarea of the Basin
17 and in part due to the influence of groundwater extractions to the east of the Seaside Groundwater
18 Basin within the Corral de Tierra subarea of the Monterey Subbasin, which is a subbasin of the
19 larger Salinas Valley Groundwater Basin.

20 As has been previously reported, Watermaster's hydrogeologist, HydroMetrics, performed
21 a study for Watermaster in 2013, which, in part, reported as follows:

22
23 The Seaside Basin groundwater flow model predicts that if Cal Am discontinues
24 pumping from the Laguna Seca subarea, groundwater elevations in the subarea
will continue to decline during the simulation period of 2009-2041. The eastern

25 ¹ Induction logs measure the conductivity of the formation and fluids outside of the well casing.
26 The measured conductivity is an aggregate measurement of the electrical conductivity of the
27 formation fluid and the formation solids. Induction logging is a common method to detect
28 changes in salinity concentrations due to the differences in conductivity correlated to the salinity
concentrations in the surrounding formation and water therein.

1 side of the subarea suffers the greatest and most persistent declines. Pumping
2 groundwater elevations are predicted to fall below the top of the well screen prior
3 to 2041 in wells Bishop #3, Ryan Ranch #7, and Laguna Seca Golf Resort –
4 Racetrack.

5 An average annual natural safe yield of 240 acre feet per year was calculated for
6 the Laguna Seca subarea. This is considerably lower than the adjudication
7 Decision perennial safe yield of 608 acre feet per year. A scenario with the
8 pumping in Laguna Seca reduced to the natural safe yield of 240 acre feet per
9 year failed to achieve stable groundwater levels.

10 An attempt was made to estimate the operational safe yield for the subarea using
11 the groundwater flow model. However, it was found that eliminating all pumping
12 from the subarea does not completely halt the predicted decline in groundwater
13 elevations in the easternmost wells: FO-6-Shallow and FO-6 Deep. Consequently,
14 it was not possible to determine an operational safe yield. The presence of nearby
15 pumping wells east of the subarea appears to influence groundwater elevations in
16 the eastern portion of the Laguna Seca subarea.

17 The unsuccessful attempt to estimate the operational safe yield, and an analysis of
18 flows along the Laguna Seca eastern boundary, suggest that wells outside of the
19 Laguna Seca subarea are preventing the subarea from achieving stable
20 groundwater elevations. This influence could be tested more thoroughly using the
21 groundwater model as follows: Multiple scenarios could be run in which pumping
22 from individual wells outside of the Laguna Seca subarea is either removed or
23 their pumping reduced. The resulting changes to groundwater levels in the
24 Laguna Seca subarea could then be compared to baseline conditions to infer the
25 influence that each well has on the subarea.

26 This study is contained as Attachment 11 of the 2014 Annual Report. Watermaster
27 acknowledges the issues and concerns raised by this report.

28 In late 2017, the Watermaster began engaging with the new Salinas Valley Basin
Groundwater Sustainability Agency (“SVBGSA”) to address this concern through the
development of the mandatory Groundwater Sustainability Plan (“GSP”) for the Monterey
Subbasin. The Watermaster was also appointed as a member of Advisory Committee to the
SVBGSA where it will continue to engage in identifying appropriate solutions to the groundwater
declines in the Corral de Tierra and Laguna Seca areas. The Watermaster also plans to provide
input to the consultant that the SVBGSA hires to develop the GSP.

The process to address the falling water levels in the eastern Laguna Seca Subarea will
likely take several years of engagement with the SVBGSA and development of supplemental
water supplies. Solutions to the long-term groundwater declines in the Laguna Seca may involve

1 a reduction of pumping in the neighboring Coral de Tiera area, continued reductions in authorized
2 pumping in the Laguna Seca Subarea, and substitution of supply via the Monterey Peninsula
3 Water Supply Project (“MPWSP”), described in previous reports and below. California American
4 Water (“Cal Am”) included supply to each of its Laguna Seca subsystems as a component of the
5 MPWSP and expects to cease all pumping from Laguna Seca in 2021 when the MPWSP is
6 complete. Furthermore, Cal Am has requested a moratorium on new connections in its Laguna
7 Seca systems pending completion of the MPWSP, which request is under review by the
8 California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC”).
9

10 Until the GSP is established for the Monterey Basin (inclusive of the Corral de Tierra
11 area) and the MPWSP is completed, it is arguably premature to address modifications to the
12 pumping allocations for the Laguna Seca Subarea. However, Watermaster notes that this issue
13 will need to be deliberately addressed over time and must remain a matter of focus and future
14 action by Watermaster and the Court.

15 **D. Intended Cal Am Replenishment of the Basin Once Future Replacement**
16 **Water Supplies are Available**

17 The Decision provides that Standard Producers that exceed their allocation of Natural Safe
18 Yield are subject to a Replenishment Assessment for each acre foot of Over-Production for each
19 Water Year. The Decision also provides that Cal Am has the right to claim a credit against its
20 Replenishment Assessment for costs incurred for water supply augmentation that has or will
21 result in replenishment of the Basin.

22 Under a January 2009 Memorandum of Understanding (“MOU”) between the
23 Watermaster and Cal Am, the Watermaster agreed to grant Cal Am credit for such costs on the
24 condition that, upon completion and implementation of its water supply project(s), Cal Am would
25 provide to the Watermaster, at no cost to the Watermaster, either (1) water for artificial
26 replenishment through direct replenishment and/or (2) cause in-lieu replenishment of the Basin by
27 forbearing to produce water to which Cal Am would be entitled as Cal Am's share of the Native
28

1 Safe Yield, in an amount equal to Cal Am's total acre feet of over-production for all Water Years
2 for which Cal Am was granted Replenishment Assessment credits.

3 In June of 2014 the Watermaster and Cal Am amended the MOU to provide that Cal Am's
4 replenishment obligation be fulfilled by in-lieu replenishment (non-pumping) of 700 acre-feet per
5 year for a period of 25 years (or other time period as required to fulfill its obligation) beginning in
6 the water year subsequent to Cal Am's completion of the MPWSP. The amendment includes a
7 provision that the volume of artificial or in-lieu replenishment would be based on a running five
8 (5) Water Year average. It also provides that if the average volume of artificial or in-lieu
9 replenishment is less than 700 acre-feet annually, and if the Watermaster declares that water for
10 artificial replenishment is available from sources other than the MPWSP, the Watermaster will
11 have the option of requiring Cal Am to pay a part of its outstanding Replenishment Assessment
12 for the purpose of providing the Watermaster with funds to obtain artificial replenishment in
13 sufficient quantities to replenish that quantity not provided via in-lieu replenishment.

14 **III. STATUS OF THE MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER SUPPLY PROJECT**

15 As has been reported in past status conference statements, Cal Am, together with multiple
16 local and state governments, are diligently working on the MPWSP to develop new water
17 supplies for the Monterey Peninsula to offset historic use of the Carmel River and Seaside Basin.
18 The elements of the MPWSP include:

- 19 • A desalination project - A desalination plant and related facilities, including a
20 subsurface seawater intake system and requisite water treatment and conveyance
21 facilities.
- 22 • Aquifer storage and recovery ("ASR") - Wet period diversions of Carmel River
23 water for storage and subsequent recapture by Cal Am from the Seaside Basin;
- 24 • A Water Purchase Agreement ("WPA") for water from The Pure Water Monterey
25 Project ("PWM") (also referred to as the Groundwater Replenishment Project or
26 GWR) – An advanced-treatment, recycled water project undertaken by Monterey
27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

One Water (“M1W”)² and the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (“MPWMD”), which will treat tertiary treated effluent water.

Significant progress was made on these elements in 2017 and additional developments are anticipated in 2018 as discussed below. When completed, the MPWSP will result in sufficient long-term water supplies for the Monterey Peninsula, when combined with Cal Am’s groundwater entitlements from the Seaside Basin and legal diversion rights from the Carmel River³ to (i) meet current and projected future demands, (ii) eliminate unauthorized diversions from the Carmel River Valley as required by the Cease and Desist Order (“CDO”) issued by the State Water Resources Control Board (“SWRCB”)⁴, and (iii) “pay-back” its extractions from the Basin in excess of its share of the Native Safe Yield since the entry of the Decision as required by Cal Am’s MOU with Watermaster and as discussed above.

A. The MPWSP

Cal Am’s application to the CPUC requesting issuance of a certificate of public convenience and necessity (“CPCN”) to authorize Cal Am to construct the MPWSP is pending before the CPUC. Progress is ongoing and a decision by the CPUC on a CPCN is anticipated this summer. There is a near-term impetus to approve the CPCN for the project this summer to satisfy a September 2018 milestone set by the SWRCB within the CDO.⁵ Cal Am and numerous other

² Monterey One Water is the new name of the agency formerly known as the Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency.

³ Cal-Am possesses 3,316 AFY of legal right (pre-1914 appropriative rights) to divert subterranean stream flow from the Carmel River Valley. Cal-Am’s allocation of the Basin’s Native Safe Yield is approximately 1,474 AFY.

⁴ See discussion of the CDO (SWRCB WR Order 2009-0060) in the 2016 status conference statement.

⁵ The CDO imposes annual milestone that must be met by Cal-Am. The 2018 milestone, which must be satisfied by the end of September, is presently set as approval of a CPCN for the desalination project. If the CDO milestone is missed, the CDO functions to reduce the quantity of water that Cal Am is authorized to divert from the Carmel River on an interim basis by 1,000 AFY, reducing the interim limit from 8,310 AFY to 7,310 AFY. As mentioned below, some parties intend to petition the SWRCB to modify the CDO to add alternative satisfactory annual milestones correlated with the proposed PWM expansion effort.

1 parties to the CPUC proceeding have been regularly meeting to explore a potential settlement of
2 remaining disputes regarding the MPWSP.

3 **B. PWM and ASR**

4 As reported in the 2017 Status Conference Statement, on September 15, 2016, the CPUC
5 authorized Cal Am to enter into the WPA with the MPWMD and M1W to purchase 3,500 AFY
6 of water from the PWM project at a cost of \$85.5 million, and for Cal Am to invest up to \$50
7 million in a new pipeline (the “Monterey Pipeline”) and pump station. Both projects are now
8 under construction. The Monterey Pipeline, which is anticipated to be completed and in operation
9 later this year, will allow Cal Am to move PWM water and ASR water, both of which will be
10 recovered from the Seaside Basin, (and ultimately desalination water) into the Cal Am
11 distribution system and also allow additional ASR water to be pumped from the Carmel River to
12 the Seaside Basin for injection during wet periods. PWM is on schedule to deliver water for
13 injection into the Seaside Basin in mid-2019. In addition to the current PWM project (for delivery
14 of 3,500 AFY to Cal Am), M1W and the MPWMD are exploring the potential for further
15 expansion of the PWM project by up to an additional 2,250 AFY of additional supply that could
16 be made available to Cal Am for a total of up to 5,750 AFY ahead of the CDO deadline of
17 December 31, 2021.

18 **C. MCWD Water Sale Proposal**

19 Another potential source of water for replenishment of the Basin has recently been
20 proposed by Marina Coast Water District. The details the proposal are being reviewed. If viable,
21 the proposal would need to be approved by Watermaster and the Court. The parties may request
22 the Watermaster to file a motion with the Court for consideration and potential approval of the
23 proposal if the details can be agreed upon by the relevant parties and Watermaster.

24 **IV. WATERMASTER ACTIVITIES AND POTENTIAL MATTERS FOR FUTURE**
25 **COURT CONSIDERATION**

26 **A. 2018 Watermaster Activities**

27 Over the course of 2018, Watermaster intends to do the following:
28

- 1 1. Engage with the Monterey Subbasin GSA to ensure that the GSP governing the
2 Corral del Tierra Subarea includes appropriate provisions to address lowering of
water levels within the Laguna Seca Subarea of the Seaside Basin.
- 3 2. In March and September perform induction logging in the sentinel wells and water
4 quality sampling in other wells, to monitor water quality conditions in the
Northern Coastal Subarea.
- 5 3. Consult with Cal Am, M1W, MPWMD, and MCWD concerning progress on
6 efforts to develop long-term replacement water supplies for the Monterey
7 Peninsula, particularly those projects that concern activities within the Basin (e.g.,
8 storage and recovery of augmented recharge).
- 9 4. Update the Court on each of these subjects in its 2018 Annual Report and
subsequent status conferences.

9 **B. Potential Motion for Relief from Final Triennial Ramp-Down in 2021**

10 As was discussed in the 2016 and 2017 status conference statements, there may arise a
11 need to move the Court to adjust or postpone the triennial ramp down in authorized pumping. The
12 next and final triennial ramp down is scheduled to become effective in 2021. Due to considerable
13 conservation and suppressed water demands within the Cal Am service area as a result of the
14 drought and a CPUC-imposed moratorium, relief from the 2018 triennial ramp down was
15 unnecessary. If progress on the replacement water supply projects continue to progress on current
16 projected timelines, Cal-Am should be able to meet demands with its available interim supplies,
17 comply with the CDO's 2021 deadline, and reduce its Seaside Basin extractions consistent with
18 the 2021 triennial ramp down and its MOU with Watermaster. However, if circumstances change
19 and the amended CDO schedule cannot be met or Cal Am cannot otherwise comply with the
20 CDO's final 2021 deadline, Cal Am and the community may be compelled to request relief from
21 the Decision's 2021 triennial ramp down.

22 **C. Request to Change Due Date for Annual Reports and Consolidate the Annual**
23 **Status Conference Statement with the Annual Report**

24 Again in 2017(as has been the case every year since water quality monitoring was begun
25 under the Monitoring and Management Program), as of the date of preparation of the Draft 2017
26 Annual Report, the Watermaster's consultants were still waiting for some of the water quality
27 data from the laboratory from wells in Watermaster's water quality monitoring network. This
28

1 problem became exacerbated in 2017 when Watermaster changed the second set of annual
2 sampling dates from July to September, as recommended by HydroMetrics, to more accurately
3 reflect the time of year when the lowest water levels are likely to occur. As a result, the
4 consultants could not finalize their reports in time to have them presented in final form to the
5 Watermaster's Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and Board of Directors in time to meet the
6 current deadline of December 15 to submit the Annual Report. For this reason, the Watermaster
7 requests that the Court revise the deadline for submittal of the Annual Report to January 15, to
8 allow sufficient time for the consultants to submit their final, not draft, reports for review by the
9 TAC and the Watermaster Board prior to finalizing and submitting the Annual Report.

10 Watermaster proposes that status conferences continue in approximately March as has
11 occurred the last two years (preferably by Court Call unless the Court or any party requests an in-
12 person conference). However, for the sake of efficiency, Watermaster proposes that the Annual
13 Report substitute for the advance status conference statement unless the Court or any party
14 requests discussion of a specific matter in one or more status conference statements filed in
15 advance of the status conference. Watermaster certainly welcomes any requests from the Court
16 for information to be included in the Annual Report and/or a separate status conference statement
17 if preferred.

18
19 Dated: March 16, 2018

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER
SCHRECK, LLP

20
21
22
23 By:



24 _____
25 RUSSELL M. MCGLOTHLIN
26 Attorneys for Seaside Groundwater Basin
27 Watermaster
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
)
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA)

I, Caitlin Malone, am employed by Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 1020 State Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101. On March 16, 2018, I served the within document:

• **Seaside Groundwater Basin Watermaster’s 2018 Case Management Statement**

- BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY.** By placing with an overnight mail company for delivery a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed package, delivery fees prepaid addressed as shown on the Service List below.
- BY MAIL.** By placing each envelope (with postage affixed thereto) in the U.S. Mail addressed as shown below.
- By personally sending a true copy via e-mail to the parties at the e-mail addresses listed on the attached Service List, on the date below.
- By posting the document listed above to the Odyssey e-FileCA website for e-service on all parties listed on the Court’s website for this matter.

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on March 16, 2018, at Santa Barbara, California.



CAITLIN MALONE

California American Water v. City of Seaside
Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M66343

SERVICE LIST

Lori Girard, Esq.
Anthony Cerasuolo, Esq.
California-American Water Co.
511 Forest Lodge Road, Suite 100
Pacific Grove, CA 93950
Tel.: (831) 646-3240
Fax: (831) 375-4367
Lori.Girard@amwater.com
acerasuolo@amwater.com

**Attorneys for California-American
Water Company**

Christina Trujillo, Esq.
700 Cass Street, Suite 202
Monterey, CA 93940
Tel.: (831) 920-0652
attorneytrujillo@yahoo.com

Attorney for City of Del Rey Oaks

James G. Heisinger, Jr., Esq.
Heisinger, Buck & Morris
P.O. Box 5427
Carmel, CA 93921-5427
Tel.: (831) 624-3891
Fax: (831) 625-0145
jim@carmellaw.com

Attorneys for City of Sand City

Charles J. McKee, Esq.
Irven L. Grant, Esq.
Office of County Counsel
168 W. Alisal Street, 3rd Floor
Salinas, CA 93901
Tel.: (831) 755-5045
Fax: (831) 755-5283
mckeecj@co.monterey.ca.us
granti@co.monterey.ca.us

Attorneys for County of Monterey

Robert Donlan, Esq.
Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP
2600 Capitol Ave Ste 400
Sacramento, CA 95816-5905
Tel.: (916) 447-2166
Fax: (916) 447-3512
red@eslawfirm.com

**Attorneys for California-American
Water Company**

Christine Davi, Esq.
Monterey City Attorney's Office
512 Pierce Street
Monterey, CA 93940
Tel.: (831) 646-3915
Fax: (831) 373-1634
davi@monterey.org

Attorneys for City of Monterey

Donald G. Freeman, Esq.
Perry & Freeman
P.O. Box 805
Carmel, CA 93921-0805
Tel.: (831) 624-5339
Fax: (831) 624-5839
klglegal@hotmail.com

Attorneys for City of Seaside

Kevin M. O'Brien, Esq.
Steven P. Saxton, Esq.
Downey Brand LLP
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
Tel.: (916) 444-1000
Fax: (916) 444-2100
kobrien@downeybrand.com
ssaxton@downeybrand.com

Attorneys for County of Monterey

Charles J. McKee, Esq.
Jesse J. Avila, Esq.
Office of County Counsel
168 W. Alisal Street, 3rd Floor
Salinas, CA 93901
Tel.: (831) 755-5045
Fax: (831) 755-5283
mckeecj@co.monterey.ca.us;
avilajj@co.monterey.ca.us

Attorneys for Monterey County Water Resources Agency

Lisa A. Cole
Granite Rock Company
350 Technology Drive
Watsonville, CA 95076
Tel.: (831) 786-2107
Legal@graniterock.com

Attorneys for Granite Rock Company

Anthony Lombardo, Esq.
Anthony Lombardo & Associates
144 W. Gabilan Street
Salinas, CA 93901
Tel.: (831) 751-2330
Fax: (831) 751-2331
tony@alombardolaw.com

Attorneys for Pasadera International, Inc. and Laguna Seca Resort, Inc.

Chris Campbell
Heisinger, Buck & Morris
PO Box 5427
Carmel, CA 93921-5427
chris@carmellaw.com
Tel.: (831) 624-3891
Fax: (831) 625-0145

Attorney for York School, Inc.

Paul Bruno, Member
Cypress Pacific Investors, LLC
P.O. Box 400
Marina, CA 93933
Tel.: (831) 384-4081
paul@mpe2000.com

For Cypress Pacific Investors, LLC, Successor in Interest to Muriel Calabrese 1987 Trust

David C. Sweigert, Esq.
Fenton & Keller
2801 Monterey Salinas Highway
P.O. Box 791
Monterey, CA 93942-0791
Tel.: (831) 373-1241
dsweigert@fentonkeller.com
esalameh@fentonkeller.com

Attorneys for D.B.O. Development Company No. 30

Eric Robinson, Esq.
Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard
400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
Tel.: (916) 321-4500
Fax: (916) 321-4555
erobinson@kmtg.com

Attorneys for Bishop, McIntosh & McIntosh

John M. Garrick, Esq.
Larson Garrick & Lightfoot
801 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1750
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Tel.: (213) 404-4106
Fax: (213) 404-4123
jgarrick@lgl-law.com

Attorneys for Alderwood Group, Inc. d/b/a Mission Memorial Park

Sheri L. Damon, Esq.
Damon Law Offices
618 Swanton Road
Davenport, CA 95017
Tel.: (831) 345-3610
Fax: (831) 337-5212
sheridamon@comcast.net

**Of Counsel for Security National
Guaranty, Inc.**

Laura Dadiw
Watermaster Executive Officer
P.O. Box 51502
Pacific Grove, CA 93950
Tel.: (831) 641-0113
watermasterseaside@sbcglobal.net

Seaside Groundwater Basin Watermaster

David Laredo, Esq.
DeLay & Laredo
606 Forest Avenue
Pacific Grove, CA 93950
Tel.: (831) 646-1502
Fax: (831) 646-0377
dave@laredolaw.net

**Attorneys for Monterey Peninsula Water
Management District**

Ed Ghandour
Security National Guaranty, Inc.
505 Montgomery Street, 11th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel.: (415) 874-3121
Fax: (415) 874-3001
edg.sng@equus-capital.com

For Security National Guaranty, Inc.